



## MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

### PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address:  THE SAN ANTONIO ORTHOPAEDIC SURGERY CTR LOMA STOUT 400 CONCORD PLAZA SUITE 200 SAN ANTONIO TX 78216	MFDR Tracking #: M4-04-3850-01
	DWC Claim #:
	Injured Employee:
Respondent Name and Box #:  INDEMNITY INSURANCE CO OF NORTH AMERICA Box #: 15	Date of Injury:
	Employer Name:
	Insurance Carrier #:

### PART II: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPAL DOCUMENTATION

**Requestor's Rationale for Increased Reimbursement:** "Not paid F&R (133.304) Documentation was provided for further explanation of CPT codes."

**Principal Documentation:**

1. DWC 60 Package
2. Medical Bill(s)
3. EOB(s)
4. Medical Records
5. Total Amount Sought - \$6,018.00

### PART III: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPAL DOCUMENTATION

**Respondent's Position Summary:** "The provider has failed to meet its burden of proof to establish that its charges and the amount requested are fair & reasonable and comply with Section 413.011(b) of the Texas Labor Code and Commission rules."

**Principal Documentation:**

1. Response Package

### PART IV: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Denial Code(s)	Disputed Service	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
9/2/2003	G	ASC services for 29827	\$3,108.00	\$0.00
	G	ASC services for 29825	\$2,910.00	\$0.00
<b>Total Due:</b>				<b>\$0.00</b>

### PART V: REVIEW OF SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY AND EXPLANATION

Texas Labor Code § 413.011(a-d), titled *Reimbursement Policies and Guidelines*, and Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1, titled *Medical Reimbursement*, effective May 2, 2006 set out the reimbursement guidelines.

This request for medical fee dispute resolution was received by the Division on November 21, 2003. Pursuant to Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, the Division notified the requestor on December 1, 2003 to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute as set forth in the rule.

1. For the services involved in this dispute, the respondent reduced or denied payment with reason code:
  - G-Unbundling \$0.00.
2. On the disputed date of service, the requestor billed the respondent for the following CPT codes: 29827, 29825, 29807, 29823, 29826, 29824 and 99070. The respondent correctly denied reimbursement for CPT code 29827

because per CCI Edits it is a component of code 29825. Therefore, reimbursement for CPT code 29827 is not recommended. Procedure code 29825 is not a component of any other service rendered on this date; therefore, the respondent's denial based upon unbundling is not supported for this code.

3. Division rule at 28 TAC §134.401(a)(4), effective August 1, 1997, states "Ambulatory/outpatient surgical care is not covered by this guideline and shall be reimbursed at a fair and reasonable rate until the issuance of a fee guideline addressing these specific types of reimbursements."
4. This dispute relates to ambulatory surgical care services provided in a hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1, effective May 2, 2006, 31 TexReg 3561, which requires that, in the absence of an applicable fee guideline, reimbursement for health care not provided through a workers' compensation health care network shall be made in accordance with subsection §134.1(d) which states that "Fair and reasonable reimbursement: (1) is consistent with the criteria of Labor Code §413.011; (2) ensures that similar procedures provided in similar circumstances receive similar reimbursement; and (3) is based on nationally recognized published studies, published Division medical dispute decisions, and values assigned for services involving similar work and resource commitments, if available."
5. Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.
6. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(C)(iii), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute including a statement of the disputed issue(s) that shall include "how the Texas Labor Code and commission [now the Division] rules, and fee guidelines, impact the disputed fee issues." Review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor did not state how the Texas Labor Code and Division rules impact the disputed fee issues. The Division concludes that the requestor has not provided documentation sufficient to meet the requirements of Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(C)(iii).
7. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(C)(iv), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute including a statement of the disputed issue(s) that shall include "how the submitted documentation supports the requestor position for each disputed fee issue." Review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor did not state how the submitted documentation supports the requestor's position for each disputed fee issue. The Division concludes that the requestor has not provided documentation sufficient to meet the requirements of Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(C)(iv).
8. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(D), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to provide "documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement." Review of the submitted documentation finds that:
  - The requestor's rationale for increased reimbursement from the *Table of Disputed Services* states that "Not paid F&R (133.304) Documentation was provided for further explanation of CPT codes."
  - The requestor has not articulated a methodology under which fair and reasonable reimbursement should be calculated.
  - The requestor did not submit documentation to support that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement.
  - The requestor does not discuss or explain how payment of the requested amount would ensure the quality of medical care, achieve effective medical cost control, provide for payment that is not in excess of a fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living, consider the increased security of payment, or otherwise satisfy the requirements of Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) or Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1.

The request for additional reimbursement is not supported. Thorough review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not demonstrated or justified that payment of the amount sought would be a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement for the services in dispute. Additional payment cannot be recommended.

9. The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The Division concludes that this dispute was not filed in the form and manner prescribed under Division rules at 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(g)(3)(C) and §133.307(g)(3)(D). The Division further concludes that the requestor failed to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

**PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES/REFERENCES**

Texas Labor Code § 413.011(a-d), § 413.031 and § 413.0311  
28 Texas Administrative Code §133.1, §133.307, §134.1, §134.401  
Texas Government Code, Chapter 2001, Subchapter G

**PART VII: DIVISION DECISION**

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute.

**DECISION:**

_____	_____	<b>7/23/2010</b>
Authorized Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

**PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL**

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to request an appeal. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **20** (twenty) days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with other required information specified in Division rule at 28 TAC §148.3(c).

Under Texas Labor Code §413.0311, your appeal will be handled by a Division hearing under Title 28 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 142 Rules if the total amount sought does not exceed \$2,000. If the total amount sought exceeds \$2,000, a hearing will be conducted by the State Office of Administrative Hearings under Texas Labor Code §413.031.

**Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.**